

Bare Facts

Copyright 2012 Lake Edun Foundation, Inc.

Official Publication of the Lake Edun Foundation, Inc.

July 1, 2012

Box 1982; Topeka, KS 66601 • e-mail: benude@lakeedun.com • Website: www.lakeedun.com
38° 58' 7" North; 95° 47' 56" West

Summertime At The Lake

Summertime has come to Kansas and we certainly see it at our special place. Temperatures are up, water levels are down. But things continue to look great.

All of our scheduled events in June were successful. Our first evening of poetry and wine drew participation from several new faces. We also heard at least two original poems.

The Chili Cook-off Carl organized seemed to be a popular addition to our schedule. And, Tom's excellent presentation on Naturist Photography the next day contained so much information that no one could have left without knowing more than when they arrived. Of course Body Painting is always a popular event.

July is equally busy. Independence Day this year falls mid-week. We will celebrate both the weekend before and after. We also have events scheduled for all the other weekends. Of course, every Saturday morning, we encourage everyone to participate in a game of volley ball; and very Saturday evening we enjoy a movie projected on our VERY big screen.

Open House And Drum Circle On July 14

Our July Open House will be on Saturday July 14. Be sure to invite your friends and co-workers to visit. From noon until 3 pm, we ask everyone to remain clothed so newcomers can explore our special place without the "danger" of seeing nudity. That evening, we will have our second annual Drum Circle. Carl has some instruments to share or you may bring your own. Or, find a suitable "drum" at our special place. Even a hollow log will work. This can be a fun event for all.

Tie-Dye And Astronomy On July 21

The next weekend, Saturday, July 21, we will have tie dye in the afternoon. This is a popular opportunity for our friends to get creative with decoration of their clothes. You bring something to die-dye; we'll provide the dye and some pointers. You will leave with something uniquely yours.

In the evening, we will try our hand at astronomy. We all look up at the stars and often wonder about their beauty. This will be a chance to learn something about them. Where are the constellations? Which are the navigation stars? How did early navigators use them to know where they were? This will be an evening of exploration and learning. Perfect for kids of all ages.

Visit The Dermatologist July 28

Closing the month, on July 28, we have scheduled another visit from our friendly dermatologist. In years past, his visits have given our friends an opportunity to ask about any skin concerns they may have. One person reported our dermatologist identified melanoma on him early enough to be successfully treated. Be sure to put this on your calendar.

Experience Lake Edun

by Katie Small

My boyfriend has tried to get me to Lake Edun numerous times. I did attend about 3 years ago, younger & thinner, and had a wonderful time. Since then, I developed breast cancer and had a lumpectomy. I also gained 30 pounds and my self image was extremely low. I lost 20 pounds, not where I wanted to be, and also have a scar and uneven breasts

I finally decided to go back, even though I had a lot of hesitation because of my body. No one even seemed to notice and I totally forgot about all of it!

There is absolutely no judging at Lake Eden. It's really amazing because you become totally unaware that people are naked.

You get to know the individual. Everyone is friendly and it seems more like family than strangers you have just met.

Lake Eden is truly a beautiful place. We spent time in the lake, went on a paddle boat ride and watched a movie.

As women we never seem to look good enough, but don't let that stop you from having a wonderful time.

There's nothing more freeing than shedding your clothes, enjoying nature and making new friends.

DON'T FORGET

Activities designated HN are sponsored by Heartland Naturists

July 2-8; Nude Recreation Week

July 4-8; Post-Clothing Independence Weekend

July 7; Sat; Dusk; Evening program

July 14; Sat; 12-3; Open House

July 14; Sat; Dusk; Drum Circle

July 14; Sat; Dusk; Evening program

July 20; Fri; 8:30-10:30; HN Swim

July 21; Sat; Tie Dye

July 21; Sat; Dusk; Evening program

July 21; Sat; Night; Astronomy

July 22; Sun; 4-6; Board of Directors

July 28; Sat; 3pm; Dermatologist Screening

July 28; Sat; Dusk; Evening program

Aug 4; Sat; Home Brew Competition

Aug 4; Sat; Dusk; Evening program

Aug 11; Sat; 12-3; Open House

Aug 12; Sun; 4-6; Board of Directors

Aug 17; Fri; 8:30-10:30; HN Swim

Aug 18; Sat; 6-?; Wine & Cheese tasting

Aug 18; Sat; Dusk; Evening program

Aug 25; Sat; Dusk; Evening program

Aug 31; Fri; Begin Blue Moon Weekend

Bathing led to nudity. Nudity led to promiscuity. So believed the colonial lawmakers of Pennsylvania and Virginia. Philadelphia was especially strict in those early years. You could be jailed there bathing more than once a month.

From The Mail Bag

Dear Lake Edun,

I loved my time spent at Lake Edun! The area is beautiful, and it was a delight to spend the time in nature while not having to worry about uncomfortable clothing. I enjoyed basking in the sun and feeling the warmth on my whole body, and to cool off, I spent some time skinny dipping in the lovely lake. I was surprisingly comfortable as soon as I disrobed, and it just felt wonderful to be completely nude while enjoying my time on the campground!

– Garrett

I Went Walking Through The Park One Day

Something interesting is going on in Overland Park, Kansas. The local Arboretum is hosting a display of eleven bronze statues donated by Chinese artists. All was going well until Joanne Hughes along with her two daughters ages 4 and 2 visited the arboretum and to her horror, came upon one particular statue which she admits her children never saw.

The statue titled, “Accept or Reject” by artist Yu Chang, presents the disjointed body of a young, bare-breasted woman, one thin arm extended to snap a photo of herself.

Hughes states, that dad and the children were busy looking for snails on the ground at the time she saw the statue. “We ushered them past. But as a mom of two girls, especially, I don’t want them to have to see something like that.”

Hughes believes the depiction of a girl with naked breasts snapping a photo of herself seemed to glorify “sexting,” the practice of texting sexually provocative photos.

In published accounts each artist explains the message each sculpture is meant to convey. In this case, the artist stated that his work was to point out the danger of digital technology and social media – how in fragmenting individuals into separate parts, including their sexuality, people can lose part of their humanity and individuality. The fact that the woman in the sculpture has no head underscores this point.

Unimpressed, Hughes dismissed this saying there isn’t any explanation given at the location, “And besides, why should we have to explain that to a 4- or 5-year-old?”

It is her contention that the subject matter is, “too mature for young eyes,” with the potential of exposing them to difficult and unexpected images, forcing parents to have conversations with children that they may not be ready to have.

“I didn’t take the time to understand the artist’s message,” Hughes said. “I was really thinking, ‘Good grief, what is that doing here?’ It is vulgar. It is provocative. I thought it was glorifying sexting. For me, it is very offensive.” She wants it hauled off to a more “appropriate venue” where children can’t be inadvertently exposed.

Since the complaint the city placed two signs at the entrances to the walking path that include a caution: “Some pieces include a display of the human body and parental guidance is encouraged.”

This was not enough for Hughes who has joined forces with the Kansas and Missouri chapter of the American Family

Association and plan to gather about 3,000 signatures from Overland Park residents to compel a Johnson County District Court judge to convene a grand jury to decide whether the arboretum should be indicted on obscenity charges.

Her fight, she said, is to protect young children such as her own.

The full article is [here](#).

So much can be said about what is reported that the mom in question said. It is amazing that as a parent, she fears having to explain things to her children. I wonder if she has the same concern about having to explain the nightly news, or programming that shows war, starving people, police shows, or day time dramas? Probably not.

I expect she feels fully equipped to deal with such matters should her children raise a question about these mature subjects. She might even think of each question as an opportunity for “a teachable moment!” She would be right. Still, why the reticence to discuss the human body and ways people may misuse their sexuality?

Many people complain about sex education being delivered in the school, and complain that they want to teach about sex in the home to ensure the transmission of their family values. Fair enough, but then when such an opportunity presents itself, horror and shame slam the door shut.

Doesn’t everyone, in theory agree that sex education begins when a child first becomes aware of other people in infancy and continues from then forward? Unfortunately, too often parents shy away from any meaningful age appropriate explanations of sexuality and eventually sex, out of shame about the subject.

In this case, instead of teaching from the point of view of a responsible parent, this mother gave a shame-based, knee jerk reaction, saying, “It’s vulgar. It is provocative.” In so doing, she cheated herself out of fulfilling her parental role of educator and her children out of receiving her wisdom due to her thoughtless emotion based overreaction.

Far from seeing parents as educators of their children in all things pertaining to living in this world successfully, this mom favors silence and blinders. She doesn’t believe parents should have to bear the burden of being parents when the going gets tough.

With this attitude, it is no wonder that too many parents never feel ready to shoulder that responsibility, and their children grow up in silence and adrift. Sadly, no bridges for trust and open communication have been built from earliest childhood. This all too frequently leads eventually to a chasm between parent and child that no one can cross in the years they most need communication and understanding between them.

So, collect your signatures, get your grand jury, sequester the offending statue and feel you have protected the children. In the end, it will be a replay of Pink Floyd singing about putting another brick in the wall. [Ed.]

Forcing others to wear clothes because we cannot control our own lust, is abuse of others.

More On Naturist Comics

I really enjoyed reading your most recent newsletter, and was intrigued to discover that there is a non-erotic naturist comic out there (Kaza and Gwenna). This led me to see if similar media existed, and I found "The Bare Pit". These are older comics, (some are from the mid 90s), but deal with issues we naturists face ranging from generation gaps, the first-time visit, family, etc. I find them to be entertaining as well as thought-provoking at times. Here is the [link](#):

I think many Lake Edun members as well as newcomers might enjoy these comics.

Thanks again!

-Ed

Thanks for the feedback, Ed. You are not alone in enjoying comics, especially ones dealing with our preferred dress code highlighted. As I recall, many years ago I did point people to the Loxie and Zoot comic series. The Bare Pit was a follow on series. Unfortunately the artist has taken a leave and says he won't be publishing any more comics this year after saying he was taking six months off last summer. Go [here](#) to read this delightful series.

As fun as comics are, there are also options for naturist fiction, and a naturist non-fiction website.

[Black Knife Beach](#)

[The Kethrios Cycle](#)

[Naturist Fiction Archive](#)

[Nudist Clubhouse](#)

[The London Flu](#)

[Naturist Fiction](#)

[My Stories](#)

I can't recommend the SunnyDay Message Board enough. It is my favorite for the positive content promoting naturism – probably the best on the web in my opinion.

Through her stories, SunnyDay chronicles how she became a naturist as an orphaned teen. Her extremely well written accounts take us through her college days and on to her present married life.

So popular is SunnyDay that others have posted their stories, also. Her [FAQ](#) is well worth reading to learn of her naturist philosophy.

This is enough for a full summer of reading you all! [Ed.]

Items We Need

Please see our website at lakeedun.com for more details.

- Non-motorized boats
- Chipper we can borrow
- Lawn or lounge chairs
- Large water storage tank
- Plastic barrels
- Concrete pavers
- Aluminum cans, etc
- Cable spools

Topfreedom Legal But Seldom Used

by Paul Rapoport

While it might be hard to believe in Topeka, Kansas, there are places in the United States where it is legal for a woman to be topfree wherever a man can. Despite this being the case

in some places for 20 years, few women dare defy social convention and go topfree.

Consider the following from Connie Everett, one of the organizers of an annual community event in which topfreedom is both legal and practiced. Still, the reporter has to ask if the event is family friendly – with all those breasts hanging out?

Is the Community Festival becoming family-friendly as it enters middle age? Some would question whether such a thing is possible, given its reputation for attracting topless women and drug use.

Everett addressed the first issue first; pointing out that toplessness is perfectly legal in Columbus, Ohio.

“I think if the parents make a big deal out of it, the kids will be freaked out,” she said. “And if they don't, the kids might not even notice.”

“I also would say that I don't think women's breasts are not family-friendly,” Everett added, chuckling. “I mean, they feed that family from the beginning.” See more [here](#).

It seems for most people that just doesn't compute. It is an example of a belief that defies logic and evidence. The warped ideology that breasts are only sexual and the mere sight of them will harm children is pervasive.

Of course this notion is reinforced everywhere we look in society. A partial list would include, legal barriers such as indecency laws in most communities; moral teachings from religious leaders and followers; the fear of being shamed by others, and tradition conspires to keep women covered.

It can further be argued this is a cause that few think is worth pursuing. Indeed, there are numerous pressing problems occupying most people's concerns that are of higher priority. Of course the same thing can be said of naturism, yet we doggedly continue to advocate for clothes freedom.

Check out the [TERA website](#) to learn of their reasons for pursuing this cause [Ed.]

There are various ways to regulate television content; my disagreement is with the FCC's attempt to impose a narrow, backwards morality, and with the official American notion that nudity is not only bad for children, there oughta be a law! to get it out of television and other places.

That is indeed the FCC's attitude, and despite what may have rarely been seen on television, its crass censorship is unwarranted and harmful. Moreover, whatever the current confusion, the FCC was not formed to be a state censor.

As for public opinion, I don't put much belief in it, especially when it's based on ignorance or leads to abrogation of important rights.

The topfree revolution of the Rochester 7 has not led to widespread adoption of its practice, for sure. But we don't know whether it has been minimal everywhere. News media have stopped reporting on every sighting, or people in general have stopped reporting on it.

Overall, “minimal” may not be far off if one restricts “result” to how many women go topfree. Yet much that's happened and been said that's positive in the past 20 years about

topfreedom has come from the Rochester decision. Awareness and acceptance are at a much higher level than might have been suggested before 1992. (Acceptance here means one doesn't mind what others do, whether or not one does it oneself.)

Although it may be true that many women don't care, it would be more interesting to know why many women do care yet keep their tops on everywhere in public. We probably don't need a survey to find out, nor to know that the law itself doesn't always make things happen.

And Now A Rant From The Other Side

We at Lake Edun are well aware that there are those that don't approve of our disregard for clothing. Some even become downright apoplectic.

Case in point. A British female journalist writes about her experience on family holiday in Spain in which she encounters numerous bare breasted women on the beach or by the pool. Needless to say, she disapproves.

Knowing she is going to sound intolerant old fashioned, the writer covers herself with the old fig leaf by saying, "Now, I am no prude, but I loathe the way women randomly expose themselves in front of children, without any thought for propriety and decorum."

Really? It's all about the children? I think it's axiomatic that when someone seeks to deflect criticism by starting an objection with, "Now, I'm no prude, **but**...", you pretty much know exactly what you are dealing with.

Of course, it's safe to assume she is fully aware of the fact that topfreedom and even nudity is legal and widely practiced on Spanish beaches. She acknowledges this by admitting that, "Topless sunbathing is a routine hazard for those who holiday among the fleshpots of the Mediterranean ."

The question is, why even take your family there if you are so offended by exposed breasts? Second, if you chose to go to a place you are likely to encounter bare breasts, why complain? It seems some people like to think the entire world must march to their own drummer. You'll find the full article [here](#).

The author describes waking the first morning of her stay and taking in the glorious sights of sun, sand and sea from the balcony of her apartment.

Then her gaze "... also fell upon the expansive embonpoint of a topless blonde sitting by the pool, cheerfully grilling her naked chest in the Hispanic heat."

This caused her indignation and anxiety as she had her four children with her, three of them male, the oldest two boys being ages 14 and 12. However, she says, "I presumed she would cover up when my four children - three of them boys - appeared for a swim."

The woman in question didn't make a move to cover up and ignored the arrival of the children, continuing to "... glaze her oven-ready breasts with coconut oil."

The author goes on to say, "I am increasingly irritated by the unpleasant vista of oiled-up torsos when I'm trying to relax." She equates being where it's legal to be topfree to being made to "...feel like a captive Peeping Tom...."

Apparently without reading it, she undercuts her previously mentioned concern for the children by talking about her older sons reactions to the bare bosomed woman.

Specifically she says, "Thankfully, my nine-year-old was preoccupied with test driving his inflatable dolphin in the pool. Next, "My 12-year-old, at the confused crossroads of adolescence, simply dived in and began swimming with unseemly haste." Finally, "It was left to my 14-year-old to reassure me – albeit with an embarrassed look – that he had a really good book to read."

Am I missing something? Didn't she just tell us her children, including the 14 year old either paid little notice or only mildly reacted to bare breasts?

The next target for her ire were women she didn't deem fit to be seen in public, but modified with grudging approval of the woman at their pool because, she "... at least had a firm bust."

For women not so blessed, the writer serves up a nonstop nasty assault of criticism. Her characterizations of some of the women are shockingly cruel. It makes me wonder why she daily kept going to the beach for hours, subjecting herself to what she found so distasteful.

Here's an example of her florid prose, "But this didn't stop the unleashing of two weighty breasts from her hammock-sized bikini top.

"When she lay back, they gaped apart like spreading forest fires.

"To further stoke my revulsion, she had put two curls of sun cream which sat on her nipples like the topping from a walnut whip."

Finally, the writer turns to her high minded arguments that she's is just trying to save the family and civilization by decreeing that, "... topless sunbathing affects everyone."

First in line needing protection are parents who may not yet have discussed sexual issues with their kids and seeing some bimbo's bare breasts on the beach will be forced to do some fast talking. After all, "Why confine centerfolds to the top shelf when children of any age can get an uncensored view while building their sandcastles?"

Second, she wants to save romance. "Morality aside, massive sunburned boobs do not a romantic vista make. Surely it's more captivating to leave something to the imagination rather than stripping off for a nearly all-over tan?"

I just hope that next year the writer will spend some time researching her vacation destination on the internet and avoid all those nasty breasts. [Ed.]

Support Naturism, Join TNS

Sometimes we forget how much others do to support those things that are most important to us. That is certainly the case for the Naturist Society.

From their superb publications to their tireless support for the rights of Naturist across the country, we always count on the Naturist Society to represent our interests. They need to count on us. Show your support by joining [TNS](#) today.

Secrecy Is Killing Naturism

The blog *Nude, Renewed* by naturist Mark Cornick is coming to a close. It's a shame, because Mark had many thoughtful and challenging things to say. This particular post is no exception and worthy of serious thought.

This particular post is his last dealing with a substantive issue in naturism, i.e., the code of secrecy in naturism harking back to the beginnings of the nudist movement. There are a significant number of responses to the thesis that naturists need to become more open about who they are both within and outside the confines of clubs and resorts.

Mark isn't saying everyone needs to openly disclose their participation in naturism, but the code demanding secrecy needs to be dropped as a rule for all. Some people can openly talk about their naturist participation and more need to be encouraged to be more open about this part of their lives.

The article is presented as a stimulant to think about this significant issue and it's bearing on the future of naturism. Regardless of your personal decision to disclose or stay secret about your naturism, simply maintaining secrecy due to the precedent of history needs to be examined.

Your responses are welcomed. [Ed.] For the blog, go to: <http://nuderenewed.com/2012/05/28/secracy-is-killing-naturism/#more-630>

My old friend Melissa Starr (aka "Barely Melissa") has re-opened the issue of [nudists' and naturists' fear of mobile phones](#). (I say "re-opened" because she posted about it a few months ago on [her other blog](#).) I posted some comments on her first post, and I'm standing by those. But the fear of mobile phones exposes a greater problem for naturism – one that could be our undoing.

My comments on Melissa's post (go read them!) reflect my usual analytical style (as Steve at All Nudist would put it.) I try to see different sides of the issues, and not issue too many polemics. Every once in a while, though, I do take a stand. I [did it before regarding clothing-optionality](#). And after thinking about this some more, I'm going to do it now.

Mobile phones are a red herring. The real problem is we're too secretive, and it's killing naturism. I know that sounds harsh. Let me explain.

A question I've been pondering this weekend is "What's it going to take to get more people interested in naturism? Or at least thinking favorably about it?" The answer I keep coming back to is *naturists have to make themselves known*. We can't expect to have people join us, or support what we do, if they don't know we exist. And I don't mean they know we exist as anonymous, faceless people, carefully covering our breasts and genitals as we play mannequins in publicity photos – I mean *they know us as real people, with names and faces and personalities*. I've written about this before in the context of [defending ourselves from bullying](#), but the same applies in dealing with the majority of people who aren't bullies.

Let's put aside the question of whether carrying your mobile with you to a naturist place qualifies as "getting away from it

all", or whether "getting away from it all" is even part of naturism. Some people enjoy that feeling; others don't. It has nothing to do with what naturism is really about. You can enjoy being nude with others in any place on earth, no matter how urban or remote. How much you choose to detach from "the real world" is entirely up to you.

Let's also put aside the issue of nearly every mobile phone sold today containing a camera. *The camera isn't the problem*. Seriously – it's not. I know it's small and easily concealable. But just as a hammer does nothing to a nail until it is swung, a camera takes no pictures until it is instructed to. Let's not blame the tools for the ways in which they are used.

The problem isn't the phone or camera. The problem is **too many naturists are afraid what people will do with them** – they're afraid **they'll let non-naturists know we exist**.

Let's be honest about how naturists are viewed by the general public. A small but vocal minority think we are dangerous, sinful perverts. There is no hope in changing these people's minds, so let's ignore them. A small but less vocal minority support what we do, and perhaps participate themselves without identifying explicitly as naturists. These people don't need their minds changed, so let's ignore them too.

The rest of the world is somewhere in between. Many of them don't know we exist, or think we're an urban legend. Some of them know we exist and just think we're harmlessly weird. Others think we're strange in a stay-away kind of way. But in general, they are neither firmly for nor against naturism, and thus they have the potential (assuming they're reasonable people, and most are) to be swayed.

Think back to how you were introduced to naturism. *You were introduced by someone you trust*. If you were born into a naturist family, your parents introduced you. Many of us were introduced by a spouse, friend, or other trusted ally. Others were convinced to try it by reading the words of a trustworthy author. There are likely a few outliers, but by and large, none of us came to naturism entirely by ourselves.

The trusted-naturist-ally method has served us well for many years, and it will continue to as long as more of us are willing to be that trusted party. Part of the reason I write is to be that trusted source for people who might not otherwise have it. Those of us who are willing to be forthright and public about our naturism are fostering trust in others. These forthright and public naturists (whom I'll call "the evangelists") deserve our thanks. Not everyone needs to be an evangelist, but some of us do, and we do it so the others don't have to.

The culture of secrecy makes it difficult for evangelists to evangelize. Just as it's said "the road to hell is paved with good intentions", policies that are intended to let the non-evangelist stay secret prevent evangelism from happening.

- "No cameras" policies prevent evangelists from taking pictures of themselves at their naturist club and sharing them with friends and families, letting them see what it's really like.

- “No last names” policies prevent people from identifying as who they really are, should they choose to, and thus engendering more trust than “John D.” does.
- Not publishing directions to naturist clubs prevents non-naturists from finding them, whether we want them to find us or not. It looks like we have something to hide.
- Extensive vetting and interview processes make us look snobbish. That puts off people who think that since we don’t trust them, they shouldn’t trust us.

How do we grow our numbers in the face of all this secrecy?

Maybe the naturists who insist on secrecy really aren’t interested in growing naturism. Maybe they see themselves as an exclusive club, closed to outsiders, a clique that only perpetuates itself rather than the ideals they aspire to. Do they have the right to be this kind of skull-and-bones secret society? Sure. But *they’re not helping naturism--At all.*

It hurts to say it, but it’s true. When we engage in this level of secrecy, we become our own worst enemies. We may think that we’re just protecting ourselves, but really, what we’re doing is isolating ourselves from the rest of society. And in case you hadn’t noticed, the rest of society is perfectly willing to move on without us, as are many of the younger naturists among us. It’s a well-known fact that we lose a lot of people when they hit their teen years. Some of them come back in adulthood, but many never do. We wrestle with this question, but don’t think enough about its consequences:

with new blood flowing more out than in, our secret societies grow older and grayer. And eventually, they die.

I do want naturism to become obsolete some day, but I want it to become obsolete because it’s the majority opinion, not because the naturist minority became extinct.

I don’t want to engage in doom and gloom for its own sake. But we can’t ignore the facts. Older, secretive naturists *will not be replaced* when their lives are over. Not if the culture of secrecy prevents those of us who want to be more open and less secretive from recruiting new naturists.

I understand that some people have legitimate needs to stay anonymous and secretive. I don’t want these people to lose their families or livelihoods. So I won’t ask them to join the evangelists. What I ask of them is to stop keeping the evangelists from evangelizing. Let’s let go of the secrecy and paranoia, and find a compromise that protects the people who need it, but allows naturism to grow and flourish and *survive* through making the public more aware of, and more sympathetic to, what naturism contributes to society. Because the secret-society mentality is doomed, and for as long as naturism clings without question to it, *naturism is doomed too*. Because the rest of the world can survive without us, but in the end, *we can’t survive without them.*

I’m going to continue evangelizing as best I can in the face of secrecy. I’m going to advocate for less secrecy and more openness. Will you join me?

Lake Edun Foundation, Inc.
P.O. Box 1982
Topeka, KS 66601-1982

**Membership Application
Change of Address Form**
Please Print

Name: First _____ MI ____ Last _____

Address: _____

City: _____ State _____ Zip _____

Phone: _____ DOB _____ E-mail Address _____

All information provided is strictly confidential. If you are joining as a couple, include names for both people. If you are a couple not living together, make a copy of this form for the other individual. (NB: To promote gender diversity, a couple is defined as one male and one female.) Those willing to assist with upkeep a minimum of 10 hours

per year may deduct \$50. One subscription to our newsletter *Bare Facts* is included with membership. A subscription only to *Bare Facts* is available for \$20.00. New members will receive a Waiver and Release which must be completed before membership is finalized. Visitation restrictions apply to Associate Membership.

Membership Fees:

	Single	Couple	Amount
Lake Edun Foundation Membership	275.00	350.00	_____
Associate Member (Over 125 miles away)	175.00	250.00	_____
Working Membership (Discount)	(50.00)	(50.00)	_____
NUTs Membership (Must be under 30)	75.00		_____
Separate Mailing Address for members		12.00	_____
<i>No Sex, No Violence ... Only Nudity</i> First Naked Plays – DVD		25.00	_____
<i>Lake Edun Exposed</i> – DVD or video		45.00	_____
Naturist Society Membership		60.00	_____
Subscription to <i>Bare Facts</i> only		20.00	_____
Tax Deductible Donation - Improve our Educational Program			_____
Tax Deductible Donation - Legal Defense Fund			_____

Total Enclosed - Check, Money Order, or Credit Card _____

Charge my Visa; MasterCard Discover AmEx # _____ Exp. ____ / ____

Note: There is a \$30 charge for any checks returned unpaid for any reason. Security code _____